

**STANLEY**  
Access Technologies



Operating Room No Entry / No Entree  
O.R. Staff Only

**Declaration Owner**

**Allegion Access Technologies LLC**  
65 Scott Swamp Rd. Farmington, CT 06032  
www.stanleyaccess.com | 860.677.2861

**Product**

Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators

(UNSPSC 30171510 – Automatic doors)

**Functional Unit**

1 square meter of door opening maintained and operated for 10 years.

**Scope**

The scope of this EPD is Cradle-to-Gate with scenarios

**EPD Number and Period of Validity**

SCS-EPD-09232  
EPD Valid July 18, 2023 through July 17, 2028



**Product Category Rule**

Product Category Rule for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration for Power-Operated Pedestrian and Revolving Doors. UNCPC 4212. ASTM International. PCRExt 2022-114, valid through August 31, 2023

**Program Operator**

SCS Global Services  
2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608  
+1.510.452.8000 | www.SCSglobalServices.com



|   |   |
|---|---|
| Declaration Owner:  | Allegion Access Technologies LLC  |
| Address:  | 65 Scott Swamp Rd. Farmington, CT 06032   |
| Products:   | Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators  |
| Declaration Number:   | SCS-EPD-09232   |
| Declaration Validity Period:  | EPD Valid July 18, 2023 through July 17, 2028   |
| Program Operator:   | SCS Global Services   |
| Declaration URL Link:   | <a href="https://www.scsglobalservices.com/certified-green-products-guide">https://www.scsglobalservices.com/certified-green-products-guide</a>   |
| LCA Practitioner:   | Gerard Mansell, Ph.D., SCS Global Services  |
| LCA Software and LCI database:  | OpenLCA v1.11 software and the Ecoinvent v3.9 database  |
| Independent critical review of the LCA and data, according to ISO 14044 and ISO 14071   | <input type="checkbox"/> internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> external  |
| LCA Reviewer:   | <br>Lindita Bushi, Ph.D., Athena Sustainable Materials Institute  |
| Product Category Rule:  | Product Category Rule for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration for Power-Operated Pedestrian and Revolving Doors. UNCPC 4212. ASTM International. PCRExt 2022-114, valid through August 31, 2023  |
| PCR Review conducted by:  |   |
| Independent verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025, ISO 21950 and the PCR   | <input type="checkbox"/> internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> external  |
| EPD Verifier:   | <br>Lindita Bushi, Ph.D., Athena Sustainable Materials Institute  |
| Declaration Contents:   | <p>ABOUT STANLEY® Access Technologies.....2</p> <p>PRODUCT DESCRIPTION.....2</p> <p>PRODUCT SPECIFICATION.....2</p> <p>MATERIAL RESOURCES.....3</p> <p>ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION.....3</p> <p>PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM.....4</p> <p>LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW.....5</p> <p>LIFE CYCLE IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....7</p> <p>ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETERS.....8</p> <p>SUPPORTING TECHNICAL INFORMATION.....9</p> <p>REFERENCES.....11</p> |
| <p><b>Disclaimers:</b> This Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) conforms to ISO 14025, 14040, 14044, and ISO 21930:2017.</p> <p><b>Scope of Results Reported:</b> The PCR requirements limit the scope of the LCA metrics such that the results exclude environmental and social performance benchmarks and thresholds, and exclude impacts from the depletion of natural resources, land use ecological impacts, ocean impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions, risks from hazardous wastes and impacts linked to hazardous chemical emissions.</p> <p><b>Accuracy of Results:</b> Due to PCR constraints, this EPD provides estimations of potential impacts that are inherently limited in terms of accuracy.</p> <p><b>Comparability:</b> The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.</p> |   |

## ABOUT STANLEY® Access Technologies

STANLEY® Access Technologies is committed to being an industry leader in door automation through exceptional service, high quality product innovation, and lowest total cost of ownership. For over 80 years, we have been designing, building, installing and servicing manual and automatic sliding, swinging, revolving and folding doors as well as sensors and controls.

Everywhere you go, you can find our trusted products throughout a wide variety of commercial, institutional, industrial and transportation applications.

Headquartered in Farmington, CT, STANLEY® Access Technologies is the largest manufacturer, installer and service provider of automatic doors in North America.

## PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

The STANLEY Access Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators are manufactured in an ISO 9001 certified facility in Farmington, Connecticut.

The STANLEY Magic Access is a versatile electromechanical swing door operator that can be used in Low Energy swing door applications where the operator is surface mounted. The controller provides power to the operator and controls all the inputs from activation and safety sensors as well as door functions. The closing speed is controlled by employing the motor as a dynamic break. The closing spring is preloaded for positive closing action so the door will close with or without power. The Magic-Access is UL listed as a fire door operator and can be used in push or pull applications with doors weighing up to 125 lbs.

## PRODUCT SPECIFICATION

**Table 1.** Product specifications for the STANLEY Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators.

| Parameter                 | Value   |
|---------------------------|---|
| Header Size               | 6 1/8" (152 mm) High x 4" (102 mm) deep   |
| Swing Door Panels         | Up to 42" (1,067 mm)  |
| Door Panel Weight         | Up to 125 pounds (57 kg)  |
| Drive System              | 1/8 HP DC Motor, Gear Drive, "Low-energy"   |
| Controller                | Solid State, Electronic, with Built in "Reverse-on-obstruction" Magic-Touch® Activation |
| Activation Sensor Options | Mats, Motion Detectors, Radio Controls or Touch-less wave sensors                       |
| Breakout                  | Breakaway Door Stop Available   |
| Power Required            | 120 VAC, 5 Amps Minimum   |
| Codes and Standards       | UL, cUL, ANSI/BHMA A156.19, NFPA 101, CSFM  |

## MATERIAL RESOURCES

The material composition and availability of raw material resources of the Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators are shown in Table 2. Information on product packaging is shown in Table 3.

**Table 2.** Material composition of the STANLEY Access Magic Access Swing Operators.

| Component             | Material        | Availability |                   |                                 |                     | Magic Access         |             |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
|                       |                 | Renewable    | Non-Renewable     | Recycled (% pre-/post-consumer) | Origin of Materials | (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | (%)         |
| Recycled Aluminum     | Aluminum        |              | Mineral, Abundant | 30%/40%                         | North America       | 0.76                 | 9.6%        |
| Aluminum              | Aluminum        |              | Mineral, Abundant | 0%                              | Global              | 4.3                  | 54%         |
| Steel                 | Steel           |              | Mineral, Abundant | 0%                              | Global              | 2.6                  | 33%         |
| Plastic               | Plastic         |              | Fossil, Limited   | 0%                              | Global              | 3.9x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 0.48%       |
| Electronic Components | Steel, Plastic, |              | Mineral, Abundant | 0%                              | Global              | 0.25                 | 3.1%        |
| <b>Total</b>          |                 |              |                   |                                 |                     | <b>8.0</b>           | <b>100%</b> |

**Table 3.** Material composition of packaging for the STANLEY Access Magic Access Swing Operators.

| Component    | Material   | Availability |                 |                                 |                     | Magic Access         |             |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
|              |            | Renewable    | Non-Renewable   | Recycled (% pre-/post-consumer) | Origin of Materials | (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | (%)         |
| Paper        | Paper      | Abundant     |                 | 0%                              | Global              | 0.12                 | 19%         |
| Cardboard    | Corrugated | Abundant     |                 | 0%                              | Global              | 0.51                 | 81%         |
| Plastic Wrap | Plastic    |              | Fossil, Limited | 0%                              | Global              | -                    | -           |
| <b>Total</b> |            |              |                 |                                 |                     | <b>0.62</b>          | <b>100%</b> |

In conformance with the PCR, product materials were reviewed for the presence of any toxic or hazardous chemicals with respect to US regulations<sup>1</sup>. Based on a review of the product components provided by the manufacturer, no regulated chemicals were identified in the product or product components.

<sup>1</sup> Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Subtitle 3. <https://www.epa.gov/rcra/resource-conservation-and-recovery-act-rcra-overview>

## ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

STANLEY® Access Technologies is the only automatic door manufacturer with two US manufacturing facilities; Indianapolis, IN and Farmington, CT.

Stanley's Refurbish Equipment Program means no dumpsters required and no landfills used; oil and grease is recycled.

Our Plant Recycling Program recycles oil and grease, cardboard, white paper and scrap aluminum and steel.

In 2017, STANLEY® Access Technologies' Farmington factory installed a combustion-free Bloom Energy Server for clean energy. This server will deliver enhanced sustainability benefits including high efficiency greenhouse gas emissions, avoid air pollutants and significantly reduce water use.

Our aluminum vendors are ISO 14001 and ISO 50001 certified to control their energy usage and environmental impacts.

## PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM

The diagram below is a representation of the most significant contributions to the life cycle of the STANLEY Access Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators. The following life cycle stages are included: production (Modules A1-A3); construction & installation (Module A4-A5); product use (Modules B1-B7); and end-of-life (Modules C1-C4).



## LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW

The system boundary is cradle-to-gate with scenarios and includes resource extraction and processing, product manufacture and assembly, distribution/transport, use and maintenance, and end-of-life. The diagram below illustrates the life cycle stages included in this EPD.

| Product                                |                           |               | Construction Process |                             | Use |             |        |             |               |                        |                       | End-of-life               |           |                  |          | Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|---|
| A1                                     | A2                        | A3            | A4                   | A5                          | B1  | B2          | B3     | B4          | B5            | B6                     | B7                    | C1                        | C2        | C3               | C4       | D   |
| Raw material extraction and processing | Transport to manufacturer | Manufacturing | Transport            | Construction - installation | Use | Maintenance | Repair | Replacement | Refurbishment | Operational energy use | Operational water use | Deconstruction demolition | Transport | Waste processing | Disposal | Reuse, recovery and/or recycling potential    |
| X                                      | X                         | X             | X                    | X                           | X   | MND         | MND    | MND         | MND           | X                      | X                     | X                         | X         | X                | X        | MND   |

X = Included | MND = Module Not Declared

The following provides a brief overview of the Modules included in the product system for the STANLEY Access Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators.

### Module A1: Raw material extraction and processing

This module includes the potential environmental impacts associated with the extraction and processing of raw materials for various component parts in the door products. The primary components are fabricated of aluminum and steel. The impacts from fabrication processes were based on representative datasets for metal product manufacturing.

### Module A2: Transportation

This module includes transportation of processed raw materials and product components to the STANLEY manufacturing facilities in Connecticut and Indiana.

### Module A3: Manufacture of the Door Products

This stage includes all the relevant manufacturing processes and flows, including the impacts from energy use and emissions at the facility. Production of capital goods, infrastructure, manufacturing equipment, and personnel-related activities are not included. This stage also includes the disposal (including transport) of manufacturing wastes (scrap losses).

### Module A4: Transportation & Delivery to the Installation Site

This module includes the impacts associated with delivery of door product to the installation site. Transport by diesel truck an estimated distance of 3,250 km is assumed.

### Module A5: Construction & Installation

This module includes installation of the products. This module includes delivery of the door products to the point of installation (downstream transportation), and installation of the products. This stage also includes the disposal (including



transport) of the product packaging materials. The doors are fabricated for specific door openings and applications with no installation waste.

**Module B1: Normal use of the product**

This module accounts for environmental impacts arising through normal anticipated use of the product. No impacts are associated with the use of the products and the results for this phase are reported as zero.

**Module B2: Maintenance**

Module not declared.

**Module B3: Repair**

Module not declared.

**Module B4: Replacement**

Module not declared.

**Module B5: Refurbishment**

Module not declared.

**Module B6: Operational Energy Use**

This module includes the primary energy consumption (electricity) associated with the operational use of these products. Operational energy use is estimated by the manufacturer as 105 kWh/yr based on the power rating of the product and assumed frequency of use.

**Module B7: Operational Water Use**

No water use occurs during the operation of the product and impacts are zero.

**Module C1-C4: End-of-Life**

The end-of-life stage of the product starts when it is replaced, dismantled or deconstructed from the building. There are no impacts associated with the deconstruction and dismantling processes as these are manual processes completed with hand tools and does not require any energy input for removal of the product. The impacts associated with transportation of waste materials to processing facilities, waste processing of material components and waste disposal of the product are included in these modules.

## LIFE CYCLE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Impact category indicators are calculated using the TRACI 2.1 and CML-IA characterization methods. TRACI 2.1 impact category indicators include global warming potential (100 years), acidification potential, smog potential, ozone depletion potential, and eutrophication potential. CML-IA impact category indicators include global warming potential (100 years), acidification potential, eutrophication potential, Photochemical Ozone Creation potential, ozone depletion potential, and abiotic resource depletion, in accordance with the PCR. The LCIA results are calculated using openLCA software. The results for these indicators are shown in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Life Cycle Impact Assessment results for the STANLEY Access Magic Access Automatic Swing Operators per functional unit. Results reported in MJ are calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits.

| Impact Category                 | Unit                                   | Raw Materials         | Transport             | Manufacturing         | Construction          | Use                   | Disposal              |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>TRACI</b>                    |  |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Global warming                  | kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq                  | 70.8                  | 2.04                  | 3.71                  | 5.45                  | 251                   | 0.503                 |
|                                 | %                                      | 21%                   | 0.61%                 | 1.1%                  | 1.6%                  | 75%                   | 0.15%                 |
| Acidification                   | kg N eq                                | 0.411                 | 8.12x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 8.73x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 2.12x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 1.40                  | 1.98x10 <sup>-3</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 22%                   | 0.44%                 | 0.47%                 | 1.1%                  | 76%                   | 0.11%                 |
| Eutrophication                  | kg N eq                                | 0.325                 | 1.93x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 1.31x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 5.90x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 0.708                 | 1.64x10 <sup>-3</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 31%                   | 0.18%                 | 1.2%                  | 0.56%                 | 67%                   | 0.16%                 |
| Smog formation                  | kg O <sub>3</sub> eq                   | 4.48                  | 0.205                 | 0.131                 | 0.535                 | 13.4                  | 5.79x10 <sup>-2</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 24%                   | 1.1%                  | 0.70%                 | 2.8%                  | 71%                   | 0.31%                 |
| Ozone depletion                 | kg CFC-11 eq                           | 1.88x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 3.59x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 7.60x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 9.33x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 5.83x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 6.80x10 <sup>-9</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 24%                   | 0.45%                 | 0.96%                 | 1.2%                  | 74%                   | 0.09%                 |
| Fossil fuel depletion           | MJ surplus                             | 53.9                  | 4.09                  | 6.60                  | 10.7                  | 387                   | 0.784                 |
|                                 | %                                      | 12%                   | 0.88%                 | 1.4%                  | 2.3%                  | 84%                   | 0.17%                 |
| <b>CML</b>                      |  |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Global warming                  | kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq                  | 71.4                  | 2.05                  | 3.84                  | 5.53                  | 253                   | 0.530                 |
|                                 | %                                      | 21%                   | 0.61%                 | 1.1%                  | 1.6%                  | 75%                   | 0.16%                 |
| Acidification                   | kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq                  | 0.411                 | 6.80x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 8.17x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 1.77x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 1.45                  | 1.57x10 <sup>-3</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 22%                   | 0.36%                 | 0.43%                 | 0.94%                 | 77%                   | 0.08%                 |
| Eutrophication                  | kg (PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>3-</sup> eq | 0.156                 | 1.72x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 5.86x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 4.81x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 0.363                 | 8.87x10 <sup>-4</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 29%                   | 0.32%                 | 1.1%                  | 0.90%                 | 68%                   | 0.17%                 |
| Photochemical oxidation         | kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> eq    | 2.74x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 3.28x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 5.84x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 8.94x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 6.02x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 9.72x10 <sup>-5</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 31%                   | 0.37%                 | 0.65%                 | 1.00%                 | 67%                   | 0.11%                 |
| Ozone layer depletion           | kg CFC-11 eq                           | 1.58x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 2.72x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 5.72x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 7.08x10 <sup>-8</sup> | 4.02x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 5.13x10 <sup>-9</sup> |
|                                 | %                                      | 27%                   | 0.47%                 | 0.99%                 | 1.2%                  | 70%                   | 0.09%                 |
| Abiotic depletion, fossil fuels | MJ                                     | 705                   | 28.8                  | 45.9                  | 74.9                  | 3,300                 | 5.23                  |
|                                 | %                                      | 17%                   | 0.69%                 | 1.1%                  | 1.8%                  | 79%                   | 0.13%                 |



## ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETERS

ISO 21930 requires that several parameters be reported in the EPD, including resource use, waste categories and output flows, and other environmental information. The results for these parameters are shown in Table 5. As the products do not contain significant amounts of bio-based materials, biogenic carbon emissions and removals are not declared.

**Table 5.** Resource use and waste flows for the automatic doors per functional unit. Results reported in MJ are calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits.

| Parameter   | Unit           | Raw Materials         | Transport             | Manufacturing         | Construction          | Use                   | Disposal              |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Energy Resource Use</b>  |                |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Use of renewable primary energy excluding resources used as raw materials     | MJ             | 158                   | 0.372                 | 19.3                  | 0.966                 | 466                   | 2.86x10 <sup>-2</sup> |
|   | %              | 25%                   | 0.06%                 | 3.0%                  | 0.15%                 | 72%                   | 0.00%                 |
| Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials               | MJ             | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  |
|   | %              | 0%                    | 0%                    | 0%                    | 0%                    | 0%                    | 0%                    |
| Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding resources used as raw materials | MJ             | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   |
| Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials           | MJ             | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   | INA                   |
| Use of secondary materials  | kg             | 3.01                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  |
|   | %              | 100%                  | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                 |
| Use of secondary fuels  | MJ             | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   |
| Recovered energy  | MJ             | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   |
| Use of net fresh water  | m <sup>3</sup> | 3.14                  | 2.23x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 0.162                 | 5.80x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 24.6                  | 2.32x10 <sup>-3</sup> |
|   | %              | 11%                   | 0.08%                 | 0.58%                 | 0.21%                 | 88%                   | 0.01%                 |
| <b>Wastes</b>   |                |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
| Hazardous waste disposed  | kg             | 4.55x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 1.89x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 2.08x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 4.91x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 1.14x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 3.48x10 <sup>-5</sup> |
|   | %              | 27%                   | 1.1%                  | 1.2%                  | 2.9%                  | 68%                   | 0.21%                 |
| Non-hazardous waste disposed  | kg             | 15.2                  | 1.42                  | 0.954                 | 3.80                  | 32.7                  | 3.07                  |
|   | %              | 27%                   | 2.5%                  | 1.7%                  | 6.6%                  | 57%                   | 5.4%                  |
| High-level radioactive wastes disposed  | kg             | 2.45x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 1.75x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 2.76x10 <sup>-5</sup> | 4.54x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 3.94x10 <sup>-3</sup> | 1.50x10 <sup>-7</sup> |
|   | %              | 5.8%                  | 0.04%                 | 0.65%                 | 0.11%                 | 93%                   | 0.00%                 |
| Low-level radioactive wastes disposed   | kg             | 5.92x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 4.16x10 <sup>-6</sup> | 1.36x10 <sup>-4</sup> | 1.08x10 <sup>-5</sup> | 2.00x10 <sup>-2</sup> | 3.60x10 <sup>-7</sup> |
|   | %              | 2.8%                  | 0.02%                 | 0.65%                 | 0.05%                 | 96%                   | 0.00%                 |
| Components for Re-use   | kg             | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  |
| Materials for Recycling   | kg             | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.00                  | 0.468                 | 0.00                  | 4.20                  |
|   | %              | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                 | 0.00%                 | 10%                   | 0.00%                 | 90%                   |
| Materials for energy recovery   | kg             | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   |
| Exported energy   | MJ             | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   | N/A                   |

INA = Indicator not assessed. No classification scheme is available in OpenLCA to estimate these indicators.

## SUPPORTING TECHNICAL INFORMATION

### Data Sources

| Component                  | Material Dataset   | Processing Dataset  | Data Source   | Publication Date |
|----------------------------|--|---|---------------|------------------|
| <b>PRODUCT COMPONENT</b>   |  |   |               |                  |
| Recycled Aluminum          | market for aluminium, primary, ingot   aluminium, primary, ingot   Cutoff, S/IAI Area, NA  | metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing   metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing   Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | market for aluminium scrap, new   aluminium scrap, new   Cutoff, S/RoW   |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | market for aluminium scrap, post-consumer   aluminium scrap, post-consumer   Cutoff, S/GLO   |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Aluminum                   | market for aluminium, primary, ingot   aluminium, primary, ingot   Cutoff, S/IAI Area, North America                                       | metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing   metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing   Cutoff, S/RoW | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Steel                      | steel production, converter, low-alloyed   steel, low-alloyed   Cutoff, S/RoW  | metal working, average for steel product manufacturing   metal working, average for steel product manufacturing   Cutoff, S/RoW         | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Plastic                    | polyethylene production, high density, granulate   polyethylene, high density, granulate   Cutoff, S/RoW                                   | injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, S/RoW   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | polyvinylchloride production, bulk polymerisation   polyvinylchloride, bulk polymerised   Cutoff, S/RER                                    |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymer production   acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymer   Cutoff, S/RER                           |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | Polyoxymethylene (POM) PlasticsEurope/EU-27  |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | polyurethane production, rigid foam   polyurethane, rigid foam   Cutoff, S/RoW   |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | polyvinylchloride production, bulk polymerisation   polyvinylchloride, bulk polymerised   Cutoff, S/RoW                                    |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | synthetic rubber production   synthetic rubber   Cutoff, S/RoW   |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
|                            | nylon 6-6 production   nylon 6-6   Cutoff, S/RoW   |   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Electronics/Motor Assembly | Electronics, for control units {GLO}   market for   Alloc Rec (46% steel (housing), 32% plastics, 14% printed wiring boards and 8% cables) | Included with material dataset  | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| <b>PACKAGING</b>           |  |   |               |                  |
| Cardboard                  | containerboard production, linerboard, kraftliner   containerboard, linerboard   Cutoff, S/RoW   | Included with material dataset  | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Plastic Wrap               | packaging film production, low density polyethylene   packaging film, low density polyethylene   Cutoff, S/RoW                             | Included with material dataset  | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Paper                      | kraft paper production   kraft paper   Cutoff, S/RoW   | Included with material dataset  | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| <b>TRANSPORTATION</b>      |  |   |               |                  |
| Road transport             | Diesel Truck   | transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4   transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4   Cutoff, S/RoW                   | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Ship transport             | Transoceanic Ship  | transport, freight, sea, container ship   transport, freight, sea, container ship   Cutoff, S/GLO                                       | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| <b>RESOURCES</b>           |  |   |               |                  |
| Electricity                | RFCW eGRID sub-region electricity grid   | Electricity, medium voltage, at grid/RFCW   | El v3.9;eGRID | 2022; 2020       |
| Electricity                | NEWE eGRID sub-region electricity grid   | Electricity, medium voltage, at grid/NEWE   | El v3.9;eGRID | 2022; 2020       |
| Electricity                | US average electricity grid  | Electricity, medium voltage, {US}   market for   Alloc Rec  | El v3.9       | 2022             |
| Natural gas combustion     | Natural gas  | heat production, natural gas, at boiler modulating >100kW   heat, district or industrial, natural gas   Cutoff, S/RoW                   | El v3.9       | 2022             |

## Data Quality

| Data Quality Parameter   | Data Quality Discussion  |
|--|--|
| <b>Time-Related Coverage</b><br>Age of data and the minimum length of time over which data should be collected   | The most recent available data are used, based on other considerations such as data quality and similarity to the actual operations. Typically, these data are less than 10 years old. All of the data used represented an average of at least one year's worth of data collection, and up to three years in some cases. Manufacturer-supplied data (primary data) are based on annual production for 2021.  |
| <b>Geographical Coverage</b><br>Geographical area from which data for unit processes should be collected to satisfy the goal of the study  | The data used in the analysis provide the best possible representation available with current data. Electricity use for product manufacture is modeled using representative data for the appropriate eGRID electricity grid mixes. Surrogate data used in the assessment are representative of North American or global operations. Data representative of global operations are considered sufficiently similar to actual processes. Data representing product disposal are based on US statistics. |
| <b>Technology Coverage</b><br>Specific technology or technology mix  | For the most part, data are representative of the actual technologies used for processing, transportation, and manufacturing operations. Representative datasets are used to represent the actual processes, as appropriate.   |
| <b>Precision</b><br>Measure of the variability of the data values for each data expressed (e.g. variance)  | Precision of results are not quantified due to a lack of data. Data collected for operations were typically averaged for one or more years and over multiple operations, which is expected to reduce the variability of results.   |
| <b>Completeness</b><br>Percentage of flow that is measured or estimated  | The LCA model included all known mass and energy flows for production of the door products. In some instances, surrogate data used to represent upstream and downstream operations may be missing some data which is propagated in the model. No known processes or activities contributing to more than 1% of the total environmental impact for each indicator are excluded. In total, these missing data represent less than 5% of the mass or energy flows.                                      |
| <b>Representativeness</b><br>Qualitative assessment of the degree to which the data set reflects the true population of interest (i.e. geographical coverage, time period, and technology coverage)              | Data used in the assessment represent typical or average processes as currently reported from multiple data sources and are therefore generally representative of the range of actual processes and technologies for production of these materials. Considerable deviation may exist among actual processes on a site-specific basis; however, such a determination would require detailed data collection throughout the supply chain back to resource extraction.                                  |
| <b>Consistency</b><br>Qualitative assessment of whether the study methodology is applied uniformly to the various components of the analysis   | The consistency of the assessment is considered to be high. Data sources of similar quality and age are used; with a bias towards Ecoinvent v3.9 data where available. Different portions of the product life cycle are equally considered; however, it must be noted that final disposition of the product is based on assumptions of current average practices in the United States.   |
| <b>Reproducibility</b><br>Qualitative assessment of the extent to which information about the methodology and data values would allow an independent practitioner to reproduce the results reported in the study | Based on the description of data and assumptions used, this assessment would be reproducible by other practitioners. All assumptions, models, and data sources are documented.   |
| <b>Sources of the Data</b><br>Description of all primary and secondary data sources  | Data representing energy use at the STANLEY manufacturing facilities represent an annual average and are considered of high quality due to the length of time over which these data are collected, as compared to a snapshot that may not accurately reflect fluctuations in production. For secondary LCI datasets, Ecoinvent v3.9 LCI data are used.   |

## Allocation

Annual energy resource use and emissions at the STANLEY manufacturing facilities were reported separately for electricity and fuel consumption (natural gas) and allocated to the product based on the cost of production of the product as a fraction of the total facility production costs (i.e., economic allocation).

The product system includes some recycled materials, which were allocated using the recycled content allocation method (also known as the 100-0 cut off method). Using the recycled content allocation approach, system inputs with recycled content do not receive any burden from the previous life cycle other than reprocessing of the waste material. At end of life, materials which are recycled leave the system boundaries with no additional burden.

Impacts from transportation were allocated based on the mass of material and distance transported.

## Cut-off criteria

According to the PCR, cumulative omitted mass or energy flows within the product boundary shall not exceed 1%. In the present study, except as noted, all known materials and processes were included in the life cycle inventory.

## REFERENCES

1. Product Category Rule for Preparing an Environmental Product Declaration for Power-Operated Pedestrian and Revolving Doors. UNCPC 4212. ASTM International. September 2016.
2. CML-IA Characterization Factors. Institute of Environmental Sciences. Leiden University. Netherlands.
3. Ecoinvent Centre (2022) Ecoinvent data from v3.9. Swiss Center for Life Cycle Inventories, Dubendorf, 2022, <http://www.ecoinvent.org>
4. ISO 14040:2006/AMD 1:2020 Environmental Management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework.
5. ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations – Type III environmental declarations – Principles and Procedures.
6. ISO 14044:2006/AMD 1:2017/AMD 2:2020 Environmental Management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and Guidelines.
7. SCS Type III Environmental Declaration Program: Program Operator Manual. V11.0 November 2021. SCS Global Services.
8. Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts (TRACI). Dr. Bare, J., <https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/tool-reduction-and-assessment-chemicals-and-other-environmental-impacts-traci>
9. SCS Global Services. Life Cycle Assessment of Power-Operated Doors. July 2023. Draft Report. Prepared for STANLEY Access Technologies.
10. US EPA. Advancing Sustainable Materials Management:2018 Fact Sheet Assessing Trends in Materials Generation and Management in the United States. November 2020. [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-11/documents/2018\\_ff\\_fact\\_sheet.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2020-11/documents/2018_ff_fact_sheet.pdf).
11. US EPA. WARM Model Transportation Research - Draft. Memorandum from ICF Consulting to United States Environmental Protection Agency. September 7, 2004. <http://epa.gov/epawaste/conserve/tools/warm/SWMMGHGreport.html#background>
12. ANSI/BHMA A156.19- Power Assist & Low Energy Power Operated Doors
13. ACLCA 2019, Guidance to Calculating Non-LCIA Inventory Metrics in Accordance with ISO 21930:2017. The American Centre for Life Cycle Assessment. May 2019

For more information contact:

**STANLEY**<sup>®</sup>  
Access Technologies

**Allegion Access Technologies LLC**

65 Scott Swamp Rd. Farmington, CT 06032

[www.stanleyaccess.com](http://www.stanleyaccess.com) | 860.677.2861



**SCS Global Services**

2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA

Main +1.50.452.8000 | fax +1.510.452.8001